

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
VALDOSTA DIVISION**

**JACKIE ROBINSON,**

Plaintiff,

v.

**COLQUITT EMC, et al.,**

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 7:13-CV-92 (HL)

**ORDER**

Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Reconsideration (Doc. 30) of this Court's refusal to order Defendant Colquitt EMC to conduct an expensive, time-consuming electronic search for certain words on its employees' cell phones and computers. The Court denied Plaintiff's request after a telephone conference on these matters on March 3, 2014. The motion for reconsideration is denied.

Plaintiff moves the Court for reconsideration of its decision pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). "The only grounds for granting [a Rule 59] motion are newly-discovered evidence or manifest errors of law or fact." In re Kellog, 197 F.3d 1116, 1119 (11th Cir. 1999). "A Rule 59(e) motion cannot be used to relitigate old matters, raise argument or present evidence that could have been raised prior to the entry of judgment." Arthur v. King, 500 F.3d 1335, 1343 (11th Cir. 2007) (quoting Michael Linet, Inc. v. Village of Wellington, Fla., 408 F.3d 757, 763 (11th Cir. 2005)) (internal punctuation omitted). Plaintiff does not

present any new evidence or point the Court to any binding legal authority that would cause it to reconsider its decision. His motion is denied.

**SO ORDERED**, this the 24<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2014.

*s/ Hugh Lawson*  
**HUGH LAWSON, SENIOR JUDGE**

scr